

44151-2947 Tillicum rd. Victoria BC V9A7K1 778-432-2120

### RE: BC On Site Sewage Association (BCOSSA) Survey of Registered Onsite Wastewater Practitioners (ROWP)

In June of 2020, BCOSSA engaged the independent services of Discovery Research based in BC to carry out a survey of the currently active ROWPs that are registered under the Applied Science Technologists & Technicians of BC (ASTTBC). The survey contacted 455 current ROWPs and is available for review below.

In summary, the survey reveals that the majority of the active ROWPs accept the onsite wastewater industry administration as set down in the Public Health Act's Sewerage System Regulation. But they have significant concerns as expressed in the comment section of the survey.

The survey also reveals that the survey respondents have concerns over the following key items:

- 1. Enhanced education and training is needed.
- 2. Education and training prior to registration / certification to include a formal hands-on, in-the-field training.
- 3. Almost 30% state that the training is too short.
- 4. More than 52% suggest a different approach of performance audits to enhance practice rather than an approach of disciplining as they felt attacked by ASTTBC rather than supported by them. The investigation motivation and approach was more disciplinary than instructive.
- 5. 84% see themselves as tradespersons similar to plumbers or electricians.
- 6. 56% are over the age of 55

Other overarching observations are:

- (a) 73% successfully completed course delivered by BCOSSA of which 58% were courses taken prior to 2010.
- (b) 74% of respondents were from outside Vancouver Coastal and Fraser Health Authorities.
- (c) 9% of respondents were Northern Health
- (d) 41% of respondents are registered as Maintenance Providers

We recommend that there be consideration given to removing from under the jurisdiction of the Professional Governance Act the technical specialists, ROWPs.

ROWPs receive up to only 2 weeks in-class education and should not be considered Professionals who currently have 3 to 7 years of education and experiential training. ROWPs recognize themselves as tradespersons and should be under a trade's group for registration and monitoring such as *TechBC* for example.

# Registered Onsite Wastewater Practitioners 2020 BC Industry Survey

### **Detailed Report on Findings**

Prepared For: BCOSSA

Prepared By: Discovery Research

Date: June 2020

#### Introduction

In June 2020, BCOSSA conducted a comprehensive survey with British Columbian Registered Onsite Wastewater Practitioners (ROWP's). ROWP's were asked to provide their opinions on the current state of the BC Wastewater Industry. The survey is an important step in the process to include input from ROWP's when government and industry officials make decisions for the upcoming years.

**Discovery Research**, an independent consulting firm, was retained to conduct the 2020 Industry Survey. The survey was designed so that ROWP's opinions could be easily summarized and prioritized. This report provides detailed results from this year's research.

#### **Methodology**

ROWP's were emailed a survey link inviting them to participate. The email invitation and survey can be seen in Appendix 1. In an effort to keep the sponsor of the survey anonymous, the email was addressed from Cale Lewis at Discovery Research on behalf of the BC Wastewater Consortium. BCOSSA did not want to bias responses by disclosing who was sponsoring the research.



			S	Source
		Total	Online	Telephone
Are you currently designated as a	Yes	125	109	16
Registered Onsite Wastewater Practitioner?	No	35	30	5
Total	160	139	21	

#### Online:

In total, 456 survey invitation emails were sent to ROWP's around BC. 139 Respondents started the survey but 30 indicated that they were not designated as a ROWP. These 30 did not proceed with the survey.

#### Telephone:

Potential respondents that did not complete the online survey were phoned and 21 respondents proceeded with the Telephone version of the survey. Five of these 21 were disqualified because they were not designated as ROWP's.

Some Respondents did not complete the entire survey. 4 respondents were disqualified because they did not complete any training courses on Sewerage System Regulations. In the end, we had 100 completed surveys from ROWP's, giving a total response rate of **22%**.

### $Appendix\ 2-Tabular\ Results$

			Age	e		Region				Trai	ining	
		Total	18-54 yrs	55+yrs	Vancouver Is land	Coastal, Fraser	Interior	Northern	BCOSSA Only	WCOWMA Only	Both BCOSSA and WCOWMA	Some Other
Are you currently Yes designated as a		78%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Registered Onsite Wastewater Practitioner?	No	22%										
Total Base		160	42	56	31	15	43	9	55	17	15	17

			Ag	e		Region				Tra	ining	
		Total	18-54 yrs	55+yrs	Vancouver Island	Coastal, Fraser	Interior	Northern	BCOSSA Only	WCOWMA Only	Both BCOSSA and WCOWMA	Some Other
The Sewerage System Regulation, under the Public Health Act	Yes	95%	100%	93%	100%	93%	95%	89%	95%	100%	100%	100%
Public Health Act describes the rules governing holding tanks and onsite sew age systems in BC. Have you heard of the Sew erage System Regulation?	No	3%		4%			2%	11%	4%			
	Notsure	2%		4%		7%	2%		2%			
T otal	Base	121	42	56	31	15	43	9	55	17	15	17

Base: ROWP's

#### Please rate your level of agreement with the following statements:

			Ago	e		Region				Tra	ining	
		Total	18-54 yrs	55+yrs	Vancouver Island	Coastal, Fraser	Interior	Northern	BCOSSA Only	WCOWMA Only	Both BCOSSA and WCOWMA	Some Other
	Di sagree	2%	2%			7%			2%			
I am familiar with the	Neutral	6%	2%	7%	6%		7%		4%	6%		
Sewerage System Regulation	Agree	44%	40%	50%	32%	47%	51%	67%	58%	41%	20%	24%
	Strongly agree	49%	55%	43%	61%	47%	42%	33%	36%	53%	80%	76%
T otal	Base	117	42	56	31	15	43	9	55	17	15	17
The current Sew erage	Strongly disagree	7%	14%	2%	13%	13%		11%	5%	6%		18%
Person to install sentic	Di sagree	9%	7%	7%	3%	7%	12%		9%		13%	12%
	Neutral	14%	14%	13%	13%	27%	12%		11%	6%	27%	12%
inspection from Health	Agree	46%	40%	55%	52%	33%	51%	56%	47%	53%	33%	47%
Authorities. This current arrangement works well	Strongly agree	25%	24%	23%	19%	20%	26%	33%	27%	35%	27%	12%
T otal	Base	117	42	56	31	15	43	9	55	17	15	17
	Strongly disagree	7%	10%	7%	10%		7%	22%	5%	12%	7%	12%
BC Health Authorities	Di sagree	14%	14%	13%	10%	27%	14%		11%	6%	27%	29%
do a good job of administering the Sewerage System Regulation.	Neutral	34%	26%	34%	39%	40%	21%	33%	33%	18%	33%	29%
	Agree	39%	45%	41%	35%	27%	53%	44%	45%	59%	27%	24%
	Strongly agree	6%	5%	5%	6%	7%	5%		5%	6%	7%	6%
T otal	Base	117	42	56	31	15	43	9	55	17	15	17

Base: ROWP's

			Ago	e		Region				Tra	ining	
	ave you successfully yas 04		18-54 yrs	55+yrs	Vancouver Island	Coastal, Fraser	Interior	Northem	BCOSSA Only	WCOWMA Only	Both BCOSSA and WCOWMA	Some Other
Sewerage System	Yes	94%	100%	96%	100%	100%	95%	100%	98%	100%	100%	100%
	No	4%		2%			2%		2%			
	Notsure	2%		2%			2%					
Total	Base	116	42	56	31	15	43	9	55	17	15	17

Base: ROWP's

			Ag	е		Region				Trai	ining	
		Total	18-54 yrs	55+yrs	Vancouver Island	Coastal, Fraser	Interior	Northern	BCOSSA Only	WCOWMA Only	Both BCOSSA and WCOWMA	Some Other
	BCOSSA	73%	69%	77%	84%	67%	70%	67%	100%		100%	47%
Where did	WCOWMA	32%	38%	25%	19%	20%	37%	56%		100%	100%	12%
you obtain your training?	Other	16%	17%	16%	32%	27%	5%					100%
	Notsure	3%		5%	3%		5%					
Total	Responses	132	52	69	43	17	50	11	55	17	30	27
T otal Ba	Base	107	42	56	31	15	43	9	55	17	15	17

Column percentages may exceed 100% because multiple responses given

#### Did you receive enough education on...

			Age	e		Region				Tra	ining	
		T otal	18-54 yrs	55+yrs	Vancouver Island	Coastal, Fraser	Interior	Northern	BCOSSA Only	WCOWMA Only	Both BCOSSA and WCOWMA	Some Other
Sewerage System	Yes	90%	88%	91%	100%	87%	81%	100%	93%	88%	93%	82%
Regulations	No	10%	12%	9%		13%	19%		7%	12%	7%	18%
T otal	Base	107	42	56	31	15	43	9	55	17	15	17
Standard Practice	Yes	91%	88%	91%	97%	87%	84%	100%	95%	88%	93%	82%
Manual	No	9%	12%	9%	3%	13%	16%		5%	12%	7%	18%
T otal	Base	107	42	56	31	15	43	9	55	17	15	17
ASTTBC Policy	Yes	85%	90%	86%	94%	87%	84%	89%	85%	88%	80%	88%
Statement	No	15%	10%	14%	6%	13%	16%	11%	15%	12%	20%	12%
T otal	Base	106	42	56	31	15	43	9	54	17	15	17
Codo of Ethico	Yes	89%	93%	88%	94%	87%	86%	100%	91%	88%	87%	88%
Code of Ethics	No	11%	7%	13%	6%	13%	14%		9%	12%	13%	12%
T otal	Base	107	42	56	31	15	43	9	55	17	15	17
Commercial Law	Yes	43%	38%	50%	58%	33%	40%	44%	56%	35%		41%
COMMINERCIAL LAW	No	57%	62%	50%	42%	67%	60%	56%	44%	65%	100%	59%
T otal	Base	107	42	56	31	15	43	9	55	17	15	17
Contract Law	Yes	49%	52%	52%	58%	47%	47%	67%	62%	41%	7%	47%
Contract Law	No	51%	48%	48%	42%	53%	53%	33%	38%	59%	93%	53%
T otal	Base	107	42	56	31	15	43	9	55	17	15	17
Danadwritina	Yes	70%	69%	77%	90%	60%	65%	78%	80%	53%	60%	71%
Reportwriting	No	30%	31%	23%	10%	40%	35%	22%	20%	47%	40%	29%
T otal	Base	107	42	56	31	15	43	9	55	17	15	17
Your Education	Yes	86%	86%	88%	97%	80%	79%	100%	91%	88%	80%	76%
Overall	No	14%	14%	13%	3%	20%	21%		9%	12%	20%	24%
T otal	Base	107	42	56	31	15	43	9	55	17	15	17

			Ago	e		Region				Trai	ining	
		Total	18-54 yrs	55+yrs	Vancouver Island	Coastal, Fraser	Interior	Northem	BCOSSA Only	WCOWMA Only	Both BCOSSA and WCOWMA 33% 67%	Some Other
Was the overall	Too short	28%	29%	27%	35%	53%	19%		25%	19%	33%	50%
education that you	Just right	66%	67%	66%	61%	40%	77%	78%	68%	69%	67%	50%
received	Toolong	6%	5%	7%	3%	7%	5%	22%	8%	13%		
T otal	Base	103	42	56	31	15	43	9	53	16	15	16

			Ago	е		Region				Trai	ining	
		Total	18-54 yrs	55+ yrs	Vancouver Island	Coastal, Fraser	Interior	Northern	BCOSSA Only	WCOWMA Only	Both BCOSSA and WCOWMA	Some Other
Would you classify your	Yes	84%	83%	88%	87%	73%	88%	89%	87%	94%	73%	75%
employment as a 'skilled trade' similar to a	No	11%	10%	11%	13%	20%	7%		9%	6%	7%	25%
plumber or electrician?	Notsure	5%	7%	2%		7%	5%	11%	4%		20%	
T otal	Base	103	42	56	31	15	43	9	53	16	15	16

			Age	е		Region				Trai	ining	
		Total	18-54 yrs	55+yrs	Vancouver Island	Coastal, Fraser	Interior	Northern	BCOSSA Only	WCOWMA Only	Both BCOSSA and WCOWMA	Some Other
categories of Registered Onsite Was tew ater	Installer	85%	83%	89%	77%	87%	91%	100%	89%	100%	73%	75%
	Planner	81%	71%	88%	81%	67%	86%	78%	75%	100%	93%	69%
	Maintenance	41%	40%	39%	55%	33%	33%	33%	49%	19%	33%	38%
application for?	None	2%		2%		7%					7%	6%
T otal -	Responses	215	82	122	66	29	90	19	113	35	31	30
	Base	103	42	56	31	15	43	9	53	16	15	16

Column percentages may exceed 100% because multiple responses given

			Age	е		Region				Tra	ining	
		Total	18-54 yrs	55+yrs	Vancouver Is land	Coastal, Fraser	Interior	Northern	BCOSSA Only	WCOWMA Only	Both BCOSSA and WCOWMA	Some Other
	2005 or before	33%	12%	52%	42%	20%	40%	11%	45%		27%	38%
	2006	6%	5%	7%	3%	13%	5%	11%	8%	6%	7%	
	2007	8%	7%	7%	6%	7%	5%	22%	9%	6%	13%	
	2008	1%	2%			7%						6%
	2009	4%	2%	5%	3%	7%	5%		6%		7%	
	2010	6%	10%	4%	6%		7%	11%	2%	13%	7%	6%
What	2011	5%	7%	4%	10%		5%		6%			13%
year did you	2012	4%	5%	4%	6%	13%			4%			13%
receive	2013	4%	5%	4%	6%	7%		11%	6%			6%
your ROWP	2014	5%	5%	4%	3%	7%	2%	11%		19%	7%	6%
status?	2015	3%	2%	4%			2%	22%		13%	7%	
	2016	6%	10%				9%		6%	13%	7%	
	2017	4%	7%	2%		13%	5%		2%	13%	7%	
	2018	2%	5%		3%		2%		2%	6%		
	2019	3%	7%		3%	7%	2%		4%	6%		
	2020	1%	2%		3%							6%
	Notsure	7%	7%	5%	3%		12%		2%	6%	13%	6%
T otal	Base	103	42	56	31	15	43	9	53	16	15	16

			Ago	е		Region				Trai	ining	
		Total	18-54 yrs	55+yrs	Vancouver Island	Coastal, Fraser	Interior	Northern	BCOSSA Only	WCOWMA Only	Both BCOSSA and WCOWMA	Some Other
	Less than 2 weeks	4%		7%	3%		7%		8%			
Approximately how long was it between your original ROWP	3-4 w eeks	10%	7%	11%	13%	7%	7%	11%	8%	6%	20%	6%
	1-2 months	14%	14%	13%	13%	13%	12%	22%	13%	19%	7%	19%
	3-4 months	8%	7%	9%	6%	20%	7%		6%	13%	7%	13%
application and when you received your	5-6 months	9%	12%	7%	6%	20%	5%	22%	8%	6%	7%	19%
actual certification?	7-12 months	12%	14%	9%	13%	7%	14%		15%	19%	7%	
	1 year or more	10%	7%	13%	19%	7%	5%	11%	11%		13%	13%
	Not sure	35%	38%	32%	26%	27%	44%	33%	32%	38%	40%	31%
T otal	Base	103	42	56	31	15	43	9	53	16	15	16



			Age	e		Region				Trai	ning	
		Total	18-54 yrs	55+yrs	Vancouver Island	Coastal, Fraser	Interior	Northern	BCOSSA Only	WCOWMA Only	Both BCOSSA and WCOWMA	Some Other
Did you receive your ROWP status	Yes	22%	33%	13%	16%	33%	21%	22%	2%	88%	20%	25%
through transferring from outside of BC?		78%	67%	88%	84%	67%	79%	78%	98%	13%	80%	75%
T otal	Base	103	42	56	31	15	43	9	53	16	15	16

			Ago	е		Region				Tra	ining	
		Total	18-54 yrs	55+yrs	Vancouver Island	Coastal, Fraser	Interior	Northern	BCOSSA Only	WCOWMA Only	Both BCOSSA and WCOWMA	Some Other
Which province	AB	87%	93%	71%	40%	100%	100%	100%		100%	100%	75%
did you transfer	ON	9%	7%	14%	40%				100%			25%
from?	NFLD	4%		14%	20%							
T otal	Base	23	14	7	5	5	9	2	1	14	3	4

Base: Recieved ROWP from transfering from outside of BC

#### Please rate your level of agreement with the following statements:

			Ago	Э		Region				Tra	ining	
		Total	18-54 yrs	55+yrs	Vancouver Island	Coastal, Fraser	Interior	Northern	BCOSSA Only	WCOWMA Only	Both BCOSSA and WCOWMA	Some Other
	Strongly disagree	4%		6%	4%		3%	14%			18%	8%
Certification	Di sagree	5%		8%	4%	20%	3%		6%			8%
requirements were	Neutral	20%	18%	20%	19%	50%	15%		21%		18%	17%
ex plained clearly	Agree	66%	75%	61%	62%	20%	79%	86%	69%	100%	64%	50%
	Strongly agree	5%	7%	4%	12%	10%			4%			17%
T otal	Base	79	28	49	26	10	34	7	52	2	11	12
	Strongly disagree	8%	4%	10%	12%		6%	14%	6%		18%	8%
Certification	Di sagree	14%	7%	18%	12%	40%	9%	14%	17%		18%	
requirements remained consistent	Neutral	34%	21%	41%	27%	40%	41%	14%	37%		18%	33%
and did not change	Agree	39%	61%	27%	42%	10%	44%	43%	37%	100%	45%	42%
	Strongly agree	5%	7%	4%	8%	10%		14%	4%			17%
T otal	Base	79	28	49	26	10	34	7	52	2	11	12
	Strongly disagree	1%		2%			3%				9%	
	Di sagree	8%	11%	6%		20%	9%	14%	8%		9%	8%
I was treated fairly for certification	Neutral	15%	11%	16%	12%	30%	12%	14%	13%		9%	25%
	Agree	62%	64%	61%	65%	40%	68%	57%	65%	100%	73%	33%
	Strongly agree	14%	14%	14%	23%	10%	9%	14%	13%			33%
T otal	Base	79	28	49	26	10	34	7	52	2	11	12
	Strongly disagree	5%		8%	4%		6%	14%	4%		18%	
The overall	Di sagree	10%	11%	8%	4%	20%	9%	14%	12%			17%
certification process	Neutral	18%	18%	18%	23%	20%	18%		17%		18%	17%
w as reas onable	Agree	61%	61%	61%	58%	50%	65%	71%	63%	100%	64%	42%
	Strongly agree	6%	11%	4%	12%	10%	3%		4%			25%
T otal	Base	79	28	49	26	10	34	7	52	2	11	12

Base: Received ROWP status in BC

			Age	Э		Region				Tra	ining	
		Total	18-54 yrs	55+ yrs	Vancouver Island	Coastal, Fraser	Interior	Northern	BCOSSA Only	WCOWMA Only	Both BCOSSA and WCOWMA	Some Other
Didyou have any ex perience working with septic systems		92%	86%	96%	94%	93%	91%	89%	96%	75%	92%	94%
prior to receiving your certification?	No	8%	14%	4%	6%	7%	9%	11%	4%	25%	8%	6%
T otal	Base	101	42	56	31	15	43	9	53	16	13	16

#### Prior to receiving certification, approximately how many septic systems did you help with...

			Age	e		Region				Tra	ining	
		Total	18-54 yrs	55+yrs	Vancouver Is land	Coastal, Fraser	Interior	Northern	BCOSSA Only	WCOWMA Only	Both BCOSSA and WCOWMA	Some Other
	None	16%	24%	11%	6%	33%	21%		19%	25%		13%
	1-4	13%	24%	5%	13%	13%	7%	44%	6%	31%	23%	13%
Diagning	5-10	21%	21%	20%	16%	20%	26%	11%	27%	19%	15%	6%
Planning	11-20	13%	10%	16%	16%	7%	12%	22%	10%	13%	8%	25%
	21+	32%	19%	43%	48%	27%	26%	22%	33%	13%	46%	44%
	Notsure	5%	2%	5%			9%		6%		8%	
T otal	Base	100	42	56	31	15	43	9	52	16	13	16
	None	9%	12%	7%	6%	20%	9%		4%	19%	8%	19%
	1-4	11%	17%	7%	13%	20%	5%	22%	4%	19%	23%	13%
Installing	5-10	17%	19%	14%	19%	13%	9%	44%	17%	25%	23%	6%
instanting	11-20	19%	17%	21%	10%	27%	26%	11%	23%	13%	8%	25%
	21+	39%	33%	45%	52%	20%	42%	22%	48%	25%	31%	38%
	Notsure	5%	2%	5%			9%		4%		8%	
T otal	Base	100	42	56	31	15	43	9	52	16	13	16
	None	36%	36%	38%	26%	33%	47%	33%	33%	56%	31%	38%
	1-4	11%	14%	9%	10%	7%	9%	33%	4%	31%	31%	
Maintenance	5-10	9%	10%	7%	6%	13%	7%	11%	8%	13%	8%	13%
wantelance	11-20	6%	7%	5%	3%	7%	7%	11%	8%		8%	6%
	21+	27%	26%	29%	48%	27%	19%		33%		15%	38%
	Notsure	11%	7%	13%	6%	13%	12%	11%	15%		8%	6%
T otal	Base	100	42	56	31	15	43	9	52	16	13	16

Base: Worked with septic systems prior to receiving ROWP status

			Ag	е		Region				Trai	ining	
		Total	18-54 yrs	55+yrs	Vancouver Island	Coastal, Fraser	Interior	Northern	BCOSSA Only	WCOWMA Only	Both BCOSSA and WCOWMA	Some Other
Prior to receiving certification, were	No	48%	26%	64%	42%	60%	49%	44%	52%	25%	54%	56%
you mentored by an	Professional Engineer	36%	43%	30%	48%	20%	33%	33%	37%	38%	31%	31%
ROWP and\ora Professional engineer?	ROWP	32%	52%	16%	32%	33%	30%	33%	29%	44%	31%	31%
T otal -	Responses	116	51	62	38	17	48	10	61	17	15	19
	Base	100	42	56	31	15	43	9	52	16	13	16

Column percentages may exceed 100% because multiple responses given

#### Approximately how many septic systems did you receive mentoring for...

			Age	e		Region				Tra	ining	
		Total	18-54 yrs	55+yrs	Vancouver Is land	Coastal, Fraser	Interior	Northern	BCOSSA Only	WCOWMA Only	Both BCOSSA and WCOWMA	Some Other
	None	15%	19%	10%	6%	33%	23%		24%	8%		14%
	1-4	17%	23%	10%	22%	17%	14%	20%	12%	33%	17%	14%
Diamina	5-10	37%	39%	30%	44%	33%	27%	40%	36%	42%	50%	29%
Planning	11-20	19%	16%	25%	17%	17%	18%	40%	20%	17%	17%	29%
	21+	8%	3%	15%	11%		9%		8%			14%
	Notsure	4%		10%			9%				17%	
T otal	Base	52	31	20	18	6	22	5	25	12	6	7
	None	10%	10%	10%	11%	33%	5%		4%	17%		29%
	1-4	15%	23%	5%	17%		18%	20%	16%	8%	33%	14%
In atallina	5-10	37%	35%	35%	39%	33%	27%	60%	40%	42%	50%	14%
Installing	11-20	15%	13%	20%	17%		18%	20%	20%	8%		29%
	21+	17%	19%	15%	17%	17%	23%		16%	25%		14%
	Notsure	6%		15%		17%	9%		4%		17%	
T otal	Base	52	31	20	18	6	22	5	25	12	6	7
	None	38%	45%	30%	17%	17%	64%	40%	44%	58%	33%	
	1-4	12%	10%	15%	17%		9%	20%	8%	17%	33%	
Maintenance	5-10	15%	13%	15%	17%	33%	5%	20%	12%	17%	17%	29%
ivianiteriance	11-20	10%	13%	5%	11%		9%	20%	16%			14%
	21+	15%	19%	10%	28%	33%	5%		12%	8%		43%
	Notsure	10%		25%	11%	17%	9%		8%		17%	14%
T otal	Base	52	31	20	18	6	22	5	25	12	6	7

Base: Received mentoring prior to receiving ROWP status

			Ag	е		Region				Tra	ining	
		Total	18-54 yrs	55+yrs	Vancouver Island	Coastal, Fraser	Interior	Northern	BCOSSA Only	WCOWMA Only	Both BCOSSA and WCOWMA	Some Other
Are you currently a	Yes	44%	38%	50%	61%	33%	37%	44%	60%	6%	31%	38%
ROWP-Maintenance Provider?	No	56%	62%	50%	39%	67%	63%	56%	40%	94%	69%	63%
T otal	Base	100	42	56	31	15	43	9	52	16	13	16

#### Approximately how many systems do you service or maintain that were installed...

			Age	е		Region				Trai	ning	
		Total	18-54 yrs	55+yrs	Vancouver Island	Coastal, Fraser	Interior	Northern	BCOSSA Only	WCOWMA Only	Both BCOSSA and WCOWMA	Some Other
	None	27%	44%	18%	11%		50%	50%	32%	100%		17%
	1-4	7%		11%	11%		6%		10%			
Before	5-10	11%	13%	11%	5%	20%	13%	25%	13%		25%	
2005	11-20	5%		7%	5%		6%		6%			
	21+	41%	44%	39%	63%	80%	13%		35%		25%	83%
	Not sure	9%		14%	5%		13%	25%	3%		50%	
T otal	Base	44	16	28	19	5	16	4	31	1	4	6
	None	7%	6%	7%			19%		10%			
	1-4	11%	6%	14%	5%		13%	50%	13%	100%		
2005	5-10	11%	19%	7%	5%		19%	25%	10%		50%	
or after	11-20	11%	13%	11%	11%		13%	25%	13%			17%
	21+	55%	56%	54%	79%	80%	31%		52%		50%	83%
	Notsure	5%		7%		20%	6%		3%			
T otal	Base	44	16	28	19	5	16	4	31	1	4	6

 $Base: ROWP\ maintenance\ providers$ 

			Age	е		Region				Trai	ning	
		Total	18-54 yrs	55+yrs	Vancouver Island	Coastal, Fraser	Interior	Northern	BCOSSA Only	WCOWMA Only	Both BCOSSA and WCOWMA	Some Other
Have you everbeen Yes under investigation by		27%	26%	29%	26%	27%	30%	22%	23%	25%	31%	38%
ASTTBC as a result of a complaint?	No	73%	74%	71%	74%	73%	70%	78%	77%	75%	69%	63%
Total	Base	100	42	56	31	15	43	9	52	16	13	16

#### Please rate your level of agreement related to how ASTTBC handled your most recent complaint...

			Ago	e		Region				Trai	ining	
		T otal	. 9		Vancouver	Coastal,				WCOWMA	Both BCOSSA	
			18-54 yrs	55 + yrs	Island	Fraser	Interior	Northern	BCOSSA Only	Only	and WCO WMA	Some Other
	Strongly disagree	11%	9%	13%		25%	15%		8%		50%	
	Di sagree	15%	9%	19%	25%		15%		17%		25%	17%
ASTTBC treated you fairly	Neutral	26%	36%	19%	13%	50%	23%	50%	33%	50%		17%
	Agree	33%	27%	38%	25%	25%	38%	50%	33%	50%	25%	33%
	Strongly agree	15%	18%	13%	38%		8%		8%			33%
T otal	Base	27	11	16	8	4	13	2	12	4	4	6
	Strongly disagree	15%	27%	6%	25%	25%	8%		17%			33%
It felt like the	Di sagree	22%	27%	19%	25%		31%		42%	25%		
ASTTBC was	Neutral	19%	18%	19%	13%		23%	50%	8%	50%	25%	17%
attacking you	Agree	30%	27%	31%	13%	75%	23%	50%	33%	25%	25%	33%
	Strongly agree	15%		25%	25%		15%				50%	17%
T otal	Base	27	11	16	8	4	13	2	12	4	4	6
	Strongly disagree	22%	18%	25%			46%		8%	50%	50%	17%
	Di sagree	22%	18%	25%	25%	50%	8%	50%	33%	25%	25%	
ASTTBC supported you	Neutral	22%	45%	6%	25%	25%	23%		17%	25%	25%	33%
,,.	Agree	22%	9%	31%	25%	25%	15%	50%	33%			33%
	Strongly agree	11%	9%	13%	25%		8%		8%			17%
T otal	Base	27	11	16	8	4	13	2	12	4	4	6
	Strongly disagree	7%		13%			15%				50%	
ASTTBC	Di sagree	22%	27%	19%	25%	50%	15%		42%		25%	
adjudicated the	Neutral	26%	36%	19%	13%	25%	31%	50%	8%	75%	25%	33%
complaint fairly	Agree	30%	18%	38%	25%	25%	31%	50%	42%	25%		33%
	Strongly agree	15%	18%	13%	38%		8%		8%			33%
T otal	Base	27	11	16	8	4	13	2	12	4	4	6
	Strongly disagree	7%	9%	6%		25%	8%		17%			
ASTTBC took the	Di sagree	22%	9%	31%			46%		25%	50%	25%	
position that you were in the	Neutral	19%	36%	6%	38%	25%	8%		8%	25%		50%
wrong	Agree	33%	36%	31%	38%	50%	23%	50%	33%	25%	25%	50%
	Strongly agree	19%	9%	25%	25%		15%	50%	17%		50%	
T otal	Base	27	11	16	8	4	13	2	12	4	4	6
	Strongly disagree	7%		13%			15%				50%	
Overall, ASTTBC Practice Review	Di sagree	26%	18%	31%	38%	25%	15%	50%	33%		25%	33%
Board ruling are	Neutral	26%	36%	19%		50%	31%	50%	17%	75%	25%	17%
fair and balanced	Agree	22%	18%	25%	13%	25%	31%		33%	25%		17%
	Strongly agree	19%	27%	13%	50%		8%		17%			33%
T otal	Base	27	11	16	8	4	13	2	12	4	4	6

Base: people who have been investigated by ASTTBC

			Ago	е		Region				Tra	ining	
		Total	18-54 yrs	55+yrs	Vancouver Island	Coastal, Fraser	Interior	Northern	BCOSSA Only	WCOWMA Only	Both BCOSSA and WCOWMA	Some Other
Have you been subjected to a Practice	Yes	30%	26%	32%	35%	13%	28%	44%	25%	44%	31%	25%
Assessment Review (PAR) by ASTTBC?	No	70%	74%	68%	65%	87%	72%	56%	75%	56%	69%	75%
T otal	Base	100	42	56	31	15	43	9	52	16	13	16

		T otal	Age			Region			Training				
			18-54 yrs	55+yrs	Vancouver Island	Coastal, Fraser	Interior	Northern	BCOSSA Only	WCOWMA Only	Both BCOSSA and WCOWMA	Some Other	
Did you feel that the PAR benefited and supported your business?	Yes	73%	82%	67%	73%	50%	75%	75%	62%	86%	75%	75%	
	No	27%	18%	33%	27%	50%	25%	25%	38%	14%	25%	25%	
T otal	Base	30	11	18	11	2	12	4	13	7	4	4	

Base: people that have had a PAR

		Total	Age			Region			Training				
			18-54 yrs	55+ yrs	Vancouver Island	Coastal, Fraser	Interior	Northern	BCOSSA Only	WCOWMA Only	Both BCOSSA and WCOWMA	Some Other	
Which of the following age groups do you fall into?	25-34	5%	12%		3%	13%	5%		4%	13%	8%		
	35-44	10%	24%		16%	7%	9%		8%	13%		25%	
	45-54	27%	64%		23%	27%	30%	33%	27%	44%	23%	19%	
	55-64	31%		55%	39%	20%	30%	33%	31%	19%	46%	38%	
	65 years or older	25%		45%	19%	33%	26%	33%	29%	6%	23%	19%	
	No response	2%							2%	6%			
Total	Base	100	42	56	31	15	43	9	52	16	13	16	

			Age		Region				Training				
		Total	18-54 yrs	55+yrs	Vancouver Island	Coastal, Fraser	Interior	Northern	BCOSSA Only	WCOWMA Only	Both BCOSSA and WCOWMA	Some Other	
	VancouverIsland	31%	31%	32%	100%				31%		31%	63%	
In which BC	Vancouver Coastal	5%	5%	5%		33%			8%			6%	
Health	Fraser	10%	12%	9%		67%			8%	13%	8%	19%	
Authority do you mainly	Interior	43%	45%	43%			100%		44%	63%	46%	13%	
practice in?	Northem	9%	7%	11%				100%	8%	19%	15%		
	No response	2%							2%	6%			
T otal	Base	100	42	56	31	15	43	9	52	16	13	16	

# Q8a. Where is more training needed related to Sewerage System Regulations?

- Certification
- Hands on training and mentoring
- More formal training is required. Oversight from a qualified person, experienced designer should be required for a minimum training period. Interpretation of the regulations can be difficult without understanding of rationale behind them. The training does not offer any theory on wastewater treatment but provides a stepwise process for untrained persons to take a 3 day training class and then consider themselves to be trained. At minimum designers should be civil technologists or Engineers.
- None
- None
- None
- Type 2&3 systems
- Understanding the SPM

# Q8b.Where is more training needed related to the <u>Standard Practice</u> <u>Manual?</u>

- How to use it
- Interpretation
- Navigation & what it all means
- None
- Old systems, Repairs, Wells and old systems
- There are too many jokers and 'grandfathered' or 'grandmothered' old boys that simply do not
  follow the rules. It's ridiculous. Tank sizing and required pumping configurations (time dose
  with alarm reserve and working volume) are never achieved by a large percentage of these
  idiots out there.
- When version 3 came out, we had a mandatory training session that was absolute nonsense.
- We are a professional reliance based industry that quite frankly sucks.
- Type 2&3 systems

# Q8c.Where is more training needed related to the <u>ASTTBC Policy</u> Statement?

- A Detailed pressure distribution, dosing, micro dosing, and lineal loading applications
- don't care
- Health Authority filing procedures
- Need printed copies
- None
- Technical and administrative
- They read it and that's it.
- Training is required as there is none



 What it is and how do new practitioners become certified. How is the interprovincial certification managed

#### Q8d.Where is more training needed related to the <u>Code of Ethics</u>?

- Don't know
- It is read and you are told to follow and abide. Most persons never get their work reviewed or checked.
- Keep it simple instead of 50 pages for 2 facts thanks
- None
- Simplified
- Training required as there is none
- Whatever is needed to make people follow the Standard Practice Manual (V3) and simply
  design accordingly. Rationalize departures and quit being old school idiots ripping off clients
  and their own business from profits of installing properly sized onsite sewerage treatment
  systems.

#### Q8e. Where is more training needed related to Commercial Law?

- All
- An introduction and overall review
- basic overview how to ensure clients and providers are protected
- BCOSSA doesn't cover it well, took additional training elsewhere
- Contracts; liability after work is complete; types of insurance needed
- Contracts, agreements, forms
- Did not cover commercial systems in much detail
- didn't do much before 2005
- don't care
- Don't really think this matters to me.
- We have contracts with work proposals and budgets along with all the disclaimers and other stuff wrapped up tightly before anything happens.
- Don't remember talking about Commercial Law.
- Everywhere, i am not sure of any commercial law training available for ROWP's.
- How does the law relate to what I do
- how it pertains to sewage
- I don't want more law training. It's for lawyers
- Laws change yearly brief notices would be great
- Liabilities
- liability
- Liability and contracts
- More from ASTTBC
- More specific examples
- more training is needed
- My training took place in 2005, there was limited information on the legal component of
  wastewater contracting. I prepared a document style contract for the septic systems that I
  installed, as a result I never had any legal problems. I'm pretty sure the teaching establishments
  cover the legal aspect better now.



- need better understanding of regulations
- need to more about contract responsibility of contractor, client, how to create contract
- No information was given
- None
- Not sure
- Not sure
- not sure
- not sure
- not sure but quite sure I haven't got any training on it.
- Not sure much at all was covered on this
- Not sure to understand the question here...
- not sure, not sure what it is
- Planning courses
- probably a seminar at a conference would suffice
- protection
- separate course, mandatory, by a regulatory body
- Short Course would be good
- there is no training
- This isn't really a wastewater training topic, more a business studies thing
- This was not discussed that I recall
- Unknown
- Unsure
- Unsure
- We do commercial stuff
- What does commercial law have to do with Wastewater Design and installation? Poor question.

#### Q8f.Where is more training needed related to Contract Law?

- A form, contract example is provided but many people don't understand the importance of having the contact. It is not emphasized. The contract does not include terms of length for liability insurance coverage.
- All
- All, More in depth training
- An introduction and training on relevant factors relating to being an ROWP
- Be good to have some for contracts I give residential clients
- Better examples in relation to contracts between installers, designers and clients. Less legalese and more easy to understand contracts.
- Contract help
- don't care
- general guidelines
- How does contract law protect me from my customers being unreasonable. What is unreasonable?
- How to right proper contracts that relate to the type of work done
- How to write a good clear simple contract that the people will read and understand.
- Installer and planners
- Liability
- More details around creating meaningful contracts and the reason for it
- More from ASTTBC



- More Training is n needed
- Need more training
- none
- not much in training before 2005
- not sure
- Not sure
- not sure
- nothing much was covered on this topic
- overall contract law training
- protection
- same
- same
- Same as above
- Same as as commercial law
- Same as commercial law, i do not know of any training available to ROWP's for contract law.
- separate course, mandatory by a regulatory body
- setting out contract specifics and templates
- Short course would be good
- short lesson on contract writing
- some people who have not done any estimating or starting there first business
- there is no training
- Unknown
- Where it is applicable to contractors

#### Q8g. Where is more training needed related to Report Writing?

- A sample of reports that make the paper pushers happy would be helpful as long as the paperpushers are administrating the recording. You could get an old ROWP with some experience going through the records.
- Admin
- All, Provide examples etc
- An example is given. It is poor.
- Better samples to follow
- everybody does it different
- Formats
- More education on how to report write, tools to use and use of proper language etc. Having someone available to mentor you through this process
- More time should be spent in class on related issues an ROWP would come across
- More training is needed
- no where
- none
- none
- not sure
- Received no training on report writing.
- same
- The examples were helpful
- the structure of what a report should be in built form; existing systems



- There is a competency guideline that lists terminology and phrases to be used.
- If 'reports' is being used to encompass the paperwork that will accompany a filing of a new system, an inspection for a real estate transaction or routine maintenance and monitoring, then I think there is a definite need of training.
- This will take me back to my point above that we all just need to read the SPM better and get this shit done properly.
- There is currently nothing
- Unsure
- Would like to have gone into more detail and examples to walk through situation from start to end

# Q29.Do you have any suggestions how to improve the certification process or ways to improve ASTTBC? Or any other comments.

- 54% did not have a suggestion
- Although I have mentored some who have chosen this path, I do not think any have made it through based on ASTTBC not contacting me regarding any of the applicants.
- Through the course of my career of which I have attended many annual conferences throughout the years, there has always been a general feeling that more MP's are needed. I would expect that rural area municipalities may soon move to mandating septic maintenance, if this happens there will most certainly be a shortage of MP's. I am currently mentoring an individual who has lots of experience in city wastewater and wants to be involved with on-site wastewater. Based on his comments it sounds like a very arduous process. In my opinion it would be beneficial if more MP's could get through! Just saying:)
- ASTTBC accesses us and possibly removes one of your abilities and then do nothing to help you
  get it back. I have my planner removed because they did not like my paperwork and have
  done nothing to help me get it back. And when I ask them for help or what they want from me.
  I have got NO answers. Still waiting on them for over 2 Years. They want us to be
  PROFESSIONALS. We are very hard working people. They really do not have anyone to help
  with ROWPs
- Can be challenging to find a mentor in rural areas, because once certified, practitioner will be competition, unless planning to take over business, work for established company
- Eliminate ASTTBC
- Get rid of it
- Have 2 employees trying to get certification, mentored by myself (certified ROWP) and a geotechnician, but were denied certification because they did not submit pictures of them doing the install, they passed exam.
- Regulations are too rigid, don't work for every site, but impossible to meet regulation requirements as they are now. Do not allow for new technology.
- Have a designated person that we can call if we have questions about design, installation, and or maintenance.
- Having mentors who will become your competition is a hard thing to come by in smaller areas.
- Home owner installation should not be allowed because they have no training. Killing the industry
- I believe that there is a lot of redundancy in the certification process there was a lot of redundancy in the web application process when writing down all educational and in-field experiences. The EOCP certification process is much much easier and streamlined as oppose to the ASTTBC process. The ASTTBC website is clunky and some of the online exam instructions are not specific. Also, the ASTTBC should also examine a person's education with regards to



whether it is transferable or not i.e. a person with a diploma/degree should be assessed differently from a person with a high school diploma. This would be course dependent i.e. a person with a diploma in Social Work or B.A. may not have courses applicable as compared to a person with a diploma in C.Tech. or a B.Sc. Enforcement of improperly planned and installed onsite wastewater systems in BC is very lackadaisical. As much as ethics is promoted within the ASTTBC, I am sorry to say for some, the almighty dollar overrules any ethics or social responsibility that is implied with one's ASTTBC certification. Thank you.

- I don't believe that self-inspection is a reliable way to get quality work and consistent standards. There is no arm's length objectivity. An inspector (who has experience in the field) who inspects the first several systems that an installer, planner does, would be helpful.
- I don't feel like ASTTBC has a vested interest in ROWP's. I basically ignore everything that comes in from ASTTBC now via email or print because none of it is relevant to me and I don't feel that ASTTBC is acting in my best interest. In the area that I live, (the West Kootenay's) the septic plans done by actual Engineers mostly do not meet current SPM standards and from my perspective are usually substandard. At best, many of the septic systems designed by 'Professionals' in my area will not last as long as those done by ROWP's. At worst, these rubber-stamped 'plans' are creating health hazards. From what I have seen, ROWP's in my geographical area do much better work and are following the latest SPM standards.
- I feel that EOCP wastewater certification is over looked. Also First Nations people take the training and can't get the required 3 installations so they don't get certified and just do the maintenance portion anyway. The three installation rule needs to be revisited otherwise with a lot of certified people will retire and there will be no one to follow them.
- I felt the education was rushed we should had way more education training to properly learn the trade
- I have been working in the onsite area for approx. 15 years before the SSR and the fifteen years since it's introduction. Our industry needs good young people with a passion for onsite in it, the same criteria should be used to evaluate all applicants.
- Formal training related directly to British Columbia is invaluable.
- I suggest finding a budget to allow for a full-time manager + team of subject matter experts. This industry is very nuanced, requiring an abundance of skills and has significant liability attached to mistakes.
- I would like to see an easier way to receive you CPD points. In my location I have to travel 5-8 hours to get additional education within my province, when I could travel 2-3 hours to be in Alberta to receive additional training. I think it should be inter provincial for this.
- In my opinion the public was better protected prior to the new regs in 2005. Access to different technologies and people that can get a system installed are more plentiful. However some of these technologies may not work properly. Some designers and installers are great and do a very good job, however some either don't know what the rules and regs are OR are so intent on putting money in their pockets that they are not that concerned as to the quality of products used, nor the manner of the installation.... and they seem to get away with it!! I believe this practice is entirely the Governments fault due to their actions initially when they backed out of what I thought was the enforcement end of the Agreement. As to maintenance. Back in 2005 thru our training/introduction etc. I was lead to believe that ALL systems installed from here on forward would have a maintenance plan attached and that EACH system would HAVE TO have that plan carried out. I believed that the maintenance of systems would be a major part of the Wastewater Industry and that was to be my major focus. I really got that wrong! During my years before 2005 I ran across individuals who did excellent work, they took pride in a job well done. There were also those whom just met the rules. Those whom did not meet the rules were dealt with by Health. I do not see where the quality of systems and the people involved are



being held to meet the standards as layed out in the new regs. or as one might expect as a consumer here in BC. in 2020. Residents of BC are not well served by the current system. The term 'Wild West' has been used unfortunately. I hope this survey might have a positive outcome that will address these issues as well as many others that others may have identified. Thank you for this opportunity.

- It is an impossible position for rural new practitioners to be put in to ask their potential business competitors to help train them. Anyone wishing to become certified should be able to hire a private inspector to get them through the practical training portion. Also what is the practical training criteria. My understanding is that in the past it has been impossible for new practitioners to achieve certification.
- Keep getting your points, keep upgrading, education is good.
- Longer courses, experience requirements, returning to education after experience, better PAR process, more transparent complaint process, random inspection of systems.
- Lower the certification cost. I can't afford to have other employees certified!
- Make it easier for older ROWPs' to get their Maintenance Certification. Get answers to questions in a more timely manner.
- Make it harder for Albertans transfering over. I've seen too many who don't know how to design a system vs how hard it was for me to get my planning certification being a BC resident
- Make maintenance on onsite systems mandatory, to many people are getting away with lack of
  maintenance which inevitably leads to health hazards. Too many customers seem to get away
  with doing things themselves and that also leads to issues. The problem is there is no one to
  enforce our regulations or our industry standard of practice for 'do it yourself customers'
- Certification process is ok now, however I am aware of some grandfathered ROWP's who don't seem to know as much about new regulations or standards of practice and frankly don't seem to care to learn.
- Need more mentors in the Kootenays, had to go to Kamloops, too far
- Need to make the certification through ASTTBC and BC certification from outside the province fair and equitable.
- Need to make ROWPs not just members of ASTTBC but make them technologists or make the equal to a journey(wo)men designation, with applicable training and responsibilities.
- New ROWP's need more experience in assessing sites
- Offer courses that include a mentoring process to gain the practical experience. I have found it
  very difficult to find mentors for maintenance and inspections, for which I would like to get
  registered for.
- Possibly have some type of school that offers practical work for installation of systems as new
  people find it difficult to get mentored for installations to get certified; all installers should have
  to do practical work to certify, not just classroom
- Required feedback on complaints \* more support from ASTTBC during a complaint process...the homeowner is not always correct. Create a certification process that has more hands-on involvement and not just theorized applications. More workshops during the off-season.
- Stabilize it
- Tasks assessments in each discipline, criteria based learning outcomes that relate to the
  practical tasks 1 (practical safety) & tasks 2 (demonstrate appropriate procedures))in the field,
  self-check exercises of each task either theory or in the field



- The adjudication process is flawed and does not consider the complete background or experience level of an individual especially extending classifications
- The certification process online is ridiculously long it should be like a trade certification.
- The industry is very hard to get into. Mentors are hard to find if you don't purchase an existing business. More mentors are needed.
- The process should be difficult and take a few years to work through dependent on the experience. These are onsite wastewater treatment systems that take our SHIT and make it so we do not pollute the environment. PERIOD.
- ASTTBC group of people that review and help with the applications is all volunteers and I understand why there are periods of times between meetings and calls that simply take time. Pay those people that are putting in the time to review and work through these applications.
- It is really God damn hard. It is so hard to keep doing the right thing when we have imbeciles running around all over installing subpar undersized systems all over the place. In my area here on the Island, we have ROWPs that have actually lost their status as planner and even installer but are still plying their shitty (haha... no pun) trade under the cover of old ass engineers that are just signing off on their systems!
- These people coming in from Alberta - -- Don't even get me started! I have just started a remote project where the local islander is an 'ROWP' that took his course in AB and holy SMOKES!!!! I will stop right there.
- Thanks.
- I am not perfect but my systems are never minimum requirements and total disregard to standard p
- The TILMA agreement allows for a much too easy certification process for the 'quick route'. Many incompetent ROWPS are currently practicing as a result. We see all over the lack of in depth knowledge and failing systems as a result. Our status should reflect the amount of work that goes into becoming a ROWP. We need more back up from ASTTBC
- There are still many systems being installed by unqualified persons and systems being installed without a filing
- There needs to be more PAR's and also filings should be checked by the stamping health authority for the minimum filing requirements in the SPM. Up until now little has been done to ROWP's who constantly design and install sub-standard systems, several ROWPs don't bother with LLR and install gravity systems in shallow soils, report them to ASTTBC and nothing seems to happen and they keep doing it. I know ASTTBC become a regulatory body layer this year so hopefully things change
- Things are reasonable now.
- Experience is the best teacher and Mentoring could be useful.
- Try to help in finding mentors
- We have sent our papers to ASTTBC to become a planner. It has been since April 17. We have had no response back. Lack of communication
- Well it should be consistent. People coming from Alberta seem to get their stamp very quickly.
  My son spent two years getting his planning stamp whereas at least 2 fellows I know from AB
  got theirs too quickly. They have very poor planning skills and come to me for advice. I just
  took over one plan that was so poorly designed it could work. My son and I refiled a plan that
  would work.
- When assessing a site, having done perk test you should be able to go ahead with install. not
  wait for additional testing
- Why is there no recognized education or training programs for BC



- With the geographical location I live the cross border influx from Alberta becomes a concern. I don't agree with the certification of Alberta installers in B.C. without having to go through upgrading to bring them up to speed on our provincial requirements.
- Ya it's not proper according to experience

